UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/596,650	06/19/2000	Robert A. Luciano	83336.0993	4935
66880 STEPTOE & J	7590 08/30/2007 PTOE & JOHNSON, LLP		EXAMINER	
1330 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, NW		FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/596,650	LUCIANO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO  ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal materials	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Lx parte Quayle, 1955 C.	5. 11, 400 O.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 23-25 and 27-30 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 23-25 and 27-30 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in a light in a light in the light	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 08/21/07. The finality of the last action has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art arc such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 23, 24, 27, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutherford (5,505,551) in view of Horniak et al. (5,833,104).

Regarding claims 23 and 24, Rutherford discloses (Fig. 1-15) the invention substantially as claimed including a fan folded strip media 10 having a plurality of pieces of media (see Fig. 4B), a surface (see Fig. 1), a first side (see Fig. 1, a left tear side of an individual item 14), a second side (see Fig. 1, a right tear side of an individual item 14), a center portion (see Fig. 1, a center tear portion of an individual item 14), a plurality of perforation 16, a plurality of bridges (see Fig. 1, the spaces between the perforations), a tear bar 38C-D, a first side portion 94 having a tapered surface (see Fig. 14, a right side portion of a high point 94) adapted to abut the surface of the plurality of pieces of media, the distance between the surface of the one of the plurality of pieces of media and the tear bar increases as the tear bar is traversed in the direction from the

first side of the one of the plurality of pieces of media towards the center portion of the media (see Fig. 14, where the distance between the side portion 94 and the sheet 10 is smaller than the distance between the center portion 96 and the sheet 10), a second side portion surface (see Fig. 14, a left side portion of a high point 94), wherein the tear bar is rotationally fixed; and a center portion 69 (see col. 7, lines 16-18, where the lower point 69 tears the perforated sheet at the center portion). Rutherford doesn't show a roughened surface. However, Horniak et al. teaches the use of a roughened surface for the purpose of providing frictional surface for engaging the ticket. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the side portions and center portion of Rutherford by providing the roughened surface as taught by Horniak et al. in order to obtain a device that provides more friction to surface of the strip for helping to tear the strip.

- Claim 28; the plurality of perforations are arranged substantially in a line (see Fig. 1).
- Claim 29; corner treatments 18.
- Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutherford 4. (5,505,551) in view of Horniak et al. (5,833,104).

Regarding claims 30 and 32, Rutherford discloses (Fig. 1-15) the process substantially as claimed including the step of: providing a fan folded strip media 10 having a plurality of pieces of media (see Fig. 4B), a surface (see Fig. 1), a first side (see Fig. 1, a left tear side of an individual item 14), a second side (see Fig. 1, a right tear side of an individual item 14), a center Art Unit: 3724

portion (see Fig. 1, a center tear portion of an individual item 14), a plurality of perforation 16, a plurality of bridges (see Fig. 1, the spaces between the perforations); providing a tear bar 38C-D, a first side portion 94 having a tapered surface (see Fig. 14, a right side portion of a high point 94) adapted to abut the surface of the plurality of pieces of media, the distance between the surface of the one of the plurality of pieces of media and the tear bar increases as the tear bar is traversed in the direction from the first side of the one of the plurality of pieces of media towards the center portion of the media (see Fig. 14, where the distance between the side portion 94 and the sheet 10 is smaller than the distance between the center portion 96 and the sheet 10), a second side portion surface (see Fig. 14, a left side portion of a high point 94), and wherein the tear bar is rotationally fixed; positioning the media, so that the first side is positioned in close relative proximity to the first side portion and the second side is positioned in close relative proximity to the second side portion (see Fig. 2 and 14), the plurality of perforations and bridges (see Fig. 1), applying a longitudinal force to the end portion (see col. 6, lines 55-57, where the high point 96 aids in the separation of transverse lines 16 by resisting the longitudinal movement of the media); and a center portion 69 (see col. 7, lines 16-18, where the lower point 69 tears the perforated sheet at the center portion). Rutherford doesn't show a roughened surface. However, Horniak et al. teaches the use of a roughened surface for the purpose of providing frictional surface for engaging the ticket. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the side portions and center portion of Rutherford by providing the roughened surface as taught by Horniak et al. in order to obtain a device that provides more friction to surface of the strip for helping to tear the strip.

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

Page 5

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are most in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ofs 8/27/2007

BOYER D. ASHLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER